**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1132 OF 2006.**
**IN**
**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1042 OF 2006.**

1. Vasant Shankr Sanap.
2. Lalitabai Vasant Sanap. ... Applicants.

Versus.

The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Shri Sandeep K.Shinde for the Applicants.

Shri S.V.More, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

**CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.**

**DATED : 13th November, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1.Â Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicants and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The Applicant No.1 (Accused No.2) has been convicted for offence punishable under section 498A read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The substantive sentence is R.I. for two years. The Applicant No.2 (Accused No.3) has also been convicted for the same offence. The substantive sentence is simple imprisonment for one year. Fine amount has been deposited. Both the Applicants have been acquitted for offence punishable under section 302 of the Indian Penal Code. The Applicants were on bail throughout the trial. There is no allegation of misuse of the liberty granted in favour of the Applicants. The Appeal is not likely to be heard before the period of sentence is over. Hence the Applicants are entitled to be enlarged on bail.

2. Hence the Application is disposed of by the following order:

i) The Applicants (i) Vasant Shankar Sanap and (ii) Lalitabai Vasant Sanap, shall be enlarged on the same bail on which they were enlarged on bail during the pendency of trial subject to filing of fresh bail bonds.

ii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicants will report to Lasalgaon Police Station, Nashik, once in every calender month till disposal of the Appeal.

**Judge.**